

**LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING  
AUGUST 6, 2019  
MINUTES**

CALL TO ORDER 9:01 a.m., Commission Chambers, Clark County Government Center,  
500 South Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada

DIRECTORS PRESENT Marilyn Kirkpatrick, President  
Larry Brown  
Justin Jones  
Michael Naft  
Tick Segerblom  
Lawrence Weekly

DIRECTORS ABSENT Jim Gibson, Vice President

STAFF PRESENT John Entsminger, Julie Wilcox, Dave Johnson, Greg Walch, Kevin Bethel

*Unless otherwise indicated, all members present voted in the affirmative.*

**COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC**

*For full public comment, visit [www.lvwd.com/apps/agenda/lvwd/index.cfm](http://www.lvwd.com/apps/agenda/lvwd/index.cfm)*

There were no speakers.

**ITEM NO.**

**1. Approval of Agenda & Minutes**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Weekly to approve the agenda and the minutes from the regular meeting of July 2, 2019.

**BUSINESS AGENDA**

**2. Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign, in substantially the same form as attached hereto, an agreement between Horrocks Engineers, Inc., and the District to provide professional services for the design of water main replacement in Shadow Lane between Alta Drive and Charleston Boulevard for an amount not to exceed \$140,756.**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Jones to approve staff's recommendation. The motion was approved.

**3. Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign, in substantially the same form as attached hereto, an agreement between VTN Nevada and the District to provide professional services for the design of water main replacement in Pinto Lane between Rancho Drive and Martin Luther King Boulevard for an amount not to exceed \$138,611.**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Naft to approve staff's recommendation. The motion was approved.

**4. Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign, in substantially the same form as attached hereto, an agreement between Stantec Consulting Services Inc., and the District to provide professional design engineering and construction support services for the Rome 2745 Zone Reservoir, 2975 Zone Pumping Station and 2975 to 2860 Zone pressure reducing valves for an amount not to exceed \$4,442,437.**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Brown to approve staff's recommendation. The motion was approved.

**5. Approve and authorize the General Manager to sign an agreement between SC East Landco, LLC, and the District for oversizing the Skye Canyon Park 2860 Zone Pipeline and the installation of fiber optic conduit and a pressure reducing valve for an amount not to exceed \$1,633,000.**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Weekly to approve staff's recommendation. The motion was approved.

6. **Approve, adopt and authorize the President to sign a resolution concerning the refinancing of water projects; making a finding that no increase in an ad valorem tax is anticipated with respect to the issuance of General Obligation (Limited Tax) (Additionally Secured by SNWA Pledged Revenues) Water Refunding Bonds, Series 2019, in the maximum aggregate principal amount of \$105,260,000; requesting the Clark County Debt Management Commission to approve the finding; providing certain details in connection therewith; and providing the effective date hereof.**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Director Jones to approve staff's recommendation. The motion was approved.

**COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC**

Walter Seip, District E – Sunrise Manor, spoke about the District's service rules, particularly fees for water waste, and right of way reductions on selected roadways. He requested his notes be attached to these minutes.

**Adjournment**

There being no further business to come before the board, the meeting adjourned at 9:12 a.m.

**Copies of all original agenda items and minutes, including all attachments, are on file in the General Manager's office at the Las Vegas Valley Water District, 1001 South Valley View Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada.**

Good Morning: Walter Seip District E in Sunrise Manor

Watched the full 3 hour and 39 minute joint BCC & school district trustee session. Several agenda items elicited public comments.

Later Today there are five agenda items specifically pertaining to the county's Water Reclamation District. Each would approve and authorize its General Manager to accomplish specified taskings. All are within the consent agenda. Each indirectly touches on water waste.

Both the Reclamation District and the Las Vegas Valley Water District have "Service Rules" – which establish fees for requested services.

Chapter 4 of the Water Reclamation's rules addresses enforcement, penalties, and appeals. Chapter 2 labels what equates to fines as "administrative penalties." Chapter 2 also distinguishes between fines and fees. A comprehensive appeal procedure is at Sections 1.14.

The Water District's Service Rules also delineate fees for requested actions. But they include what is labeled a fee for wasting, paid for, water. This is actually a "fine" for, a nebulous at best, administrative determination that a customer has wasted water. There is no appeal protocol.

Interestingly, County Ordinance 2934 defines "to waste water" as (1) water that is flowing into a gutter or sidewalk for five or more minutes, And (2) water that collects in pools in a public street to a depth of two inches. That's it.

I intend to broach this to the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada during one of its upcoming General Consumer sessions.

Another Reclamation District "Service Rule" issue: Right of Way. This board recently was presented with more than 80 requests to reduce the right of ways on selected "collector roads." Neighborhood meetings required by Nevada statute before changes were not held.

And, there was no coordination with the RTC regarding these reductions. I did alert the RTC that one or more of its 16 projects to widen specific roads could be impacted.

Now I alert that street right of ways are the determiner of the diameter of sewer mains. Perhaps these right of way reductions will allow developers to undersize a main line extension. I suggest a review of this possible inconsistency.

One last related observation regarding right of ways. I asked the Planning Commission if there was any intent to revise the amended right of ways on deeds? This was heard and not mentioned during the ensuing hearing. Perhaps County planners could send notices advising impacted property owners of the change.

Thank you for your attention.

Do attach this to the minutes of this meeting.

Walter Seip

walterseip@cox.net